Gap Analysis of Major Operation Theatre Complex of a Tertiary Cancer Centre against NABH Accreditation Standards

Sudha P

Division of Anaesthesiology, Regional Cancer Centre, Trivandrum, Kerala 695011*

ABSTRACT

Published on 28th September 2015

This observational study aims to review the planning and functioning of the Major Operation Theatre (MOT) complex of a Tertiary Cancer Centre committed to obtain National Accreditation Board for Hospitals and Health care providers (NABH) accreditation

Context: Full advantage of new surgical development can occur only if operation theatre is properly designed. NABH is a constituent board of Quality Council of India(QCI) set up to operate accreditation programme which demonstrates commitment to quality health care .Healthcare organization should carry out a self assessment on the status of compliance with NABH standards for accreditation

Aims:1.To study the planning and functioning of the MOT complex against NABH standards and identify deficiencies. 2.To review the planning and functioning MOT complex in terms of perspectives of staff

Settings and Design: This was a descriptive study. MOT complex was observed for three months.

Methods and Material: Physical facilities, safety measures, staffing pattern and equipment facilities were analysed against NABH standards and compared with staff perspectives. Data was collected by desk research, observation and by structured interview of 54 permanent staff working in MOT complex.

Statistical analysis used: Frequency and percentage

Results: Physical facilities and safety measures are inadequate .Staffing pattern and equipment facilities are satisfactory. The difference from staff opinion is mainly due to noncompliance with mandatory documentations for NABH accreditation

Conclusions: The planning and functioning of MOT complex do not satisfy the minimum essential standards required for NABH accreditation and needs remodeling

Keywords: Healthcare Quality, Evaluation Studies, Patient safety

INTRODUCTION

Accreditation of a hospital stimulates continuous improvement and demonstrates commitment to quality care. 1,2,3,4 National Accreditation Board for Hospitals and Health care providers (NABH) is a constituent board of Quality Council of India(QCI) set up to establish and operate accreditation programme for health care organizations. 5,6 For NABH accreditation , the organization should carry out a self assessment on the status of compliance with NABH standards. 5

New safer surgical skills and anaesthesia techniques and development can take full advantage if operation theatre is properly planned and designed. This study aims at gap analysis (a technique for determining the steps to be taken in moving from a current state to a desired future state) of planning and functioning of Major Operation Theatre (MOT) complex of a Tertiary Cancer Centre, committed to obtain NABH accreditation, with the aid of NABH standards. It also aims to review the planning and functioning of MOT complex in terms of user's perspective.

Aims of the study were as follows:

- 1. To study the planning and functioning of the MOT complex with the aid of NABH standards and guidelines.
- 2. To review the planning and functioning MOT complex in terms of perspectives of staff working there.

Corresponding Author:

Dr Sudha P, Harisree, NVN 3, NV Nagar Lane 1, Peroorkada, Trivandrum 695005. Phone: 9447108605 Email: drsudhap@yahoo.co.in

^{*}See End Note for complete author details

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This was a descriptive study. After getting Institutional Review Board approval, the MOT complex was observed for three months. Data was collected by observation of the MOT Complex and from the54 permanent staff working there by structured interview method and from records maintained in the MOT complex and engineering division. A pilot study conducted with four doctors and six nurses confirmed feasibility of the study. Judgment sampling method was used.

The study evaluated the following against NABH standards and guidelines:

- a. Infrastructure facilities
- b. Patient and staff safety measures
- c. Staffing pattern and human resource management
- d. Equipment management programme
- e. Quality of operative services

The study was completed in three months .Data was analyzed in terms of frequency and percentage

The objective elements of all applicable NABH standards were marked on a scale of 0-5-10 .All the observations were recorded and a score was allocated to each as follows:

0--- NOT MET (If neither documentation nor implementation is available)

5--- PARTIALLY MET (If only either of the two is available or both are available but only partially)

10-- FULLY MET (If both are met)

The order of compliance with NABH standards were classified as follows:-

1. EXCELLENT

When all objective elements of all standards were fully met (score 10 for all)

2. GOOD

If all the below criteria are satisfied

- a. Most of the objective elements of most of the standards are either partially or fully met
- b. No standard has more than one zero for its objective elements
- c. No zero is there against elements related to legal implications

3. POOR

If any of the below 3 criteria are there

- d. When none of the objective elements of standards are met
- e. When one standard has more than one zero for its objective elements
- f. When there is at least one zero against elements related to legal implications

The limitation of the study is that the results will be specific to the MOT complex studied and cannot be generalized.

RESULTS

The approximate MOT statistics was as follows:

Number of surgeries / year = 3300-3400

Number of surgeries / operation theatre / day=3-4

Number of working days / year =300

Number of surgeries per operation theatre / year=900-1200

Table 1. Distribution of sample according to designation							
Designation	Number	%					
Surgeon	13	24.08					
Anaesthesiologist	8	14.81					
Nurse	18	33.33					
OT technician	8	14.81					
Nursing Assistant	3	5.56					
Cleaner	4	7.41					
Total	54	100					

Although the number of operation theatres is adequate5 as calculated 4-5, the waiting period for surgery is 3-4 weeks (ideal </= 2weeks in cancer surgeries). This is due to inadequate number of surgical beds. Almost all the theatres run very late leading to overutilization which itself decreases the efficiency of services and causes excessive fatigue among the limited number of all categories of staff. There are many cancellations due

Table 2. Distribution of sample according to working experience											e	
Total years of Experi- ence	Surgeon N=13		Anaes- thesiolo- gist N=8		Nurse N=18		OT Tech- nician N=8		Nursing Assistant N=3		Cleaner N=4	
	No.	. %	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
3-6 months	-	-	1	12.5	-	-	-		-		-	-
6 months- 1 year	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	37.5	-	-	-	-
1-5 years	-	-	2	25	11	61.11	3	37.5	2	66.67	-	-
5-10 years	5	38.46	1	12.5	4	22.22	1	12.5	1	33.33	1	25
>10 years	8	61.54	4	50	3	16.67	1	12.5	-	-	3	75

Table	Table 3. Evaluation of Infrastructure facilities									
Sl No:	NABH Stan- dard	Total no: of objective elements	Score 10	Score 5	Score 0	Order of compliance				
A.	Evaluati	Evaluation of infrastructure facilities								
A i-iv	Provisio	Provision of space, light and ventilation								
A 1	FMS 2	6	2	1	3	POOR				
Av	Provision of safe water, electricity, medical gases and vacuum system and provision of alternate sources in case of failure									
A 2	FMS 4	4	4	-	-	EXCELLENT				
A vi	Fulfillment of statutory/legal requirements									
A 3	FMS 1	4	4	-	-	EXCELENT				

to inadequate theatre time. 13 surgeons, 8 anaesthesiologists, 18 nurses, 8 operation theatre technicians, 3 nursing assistants and 4 cleaners were included in the sample (Table 1) Nurses constitute the majority. They are the category directly involved in the provision of

Table	4. Evaluation	of patie	nt and st	aff safe	ty mea	sures			
B.	Evaluation of infrastructure facilities								
Bi	Provision of safe and secure environment								
B 1	FMS 9	4	1	2	1	GOOD			
B ii	Facilities and resources for infection control								
B 2	HIC 5	4	1	2	1	GOOD			
B iii	Availability	of infecti	on contro	ol manua	al				
В3	HIC 2	4	2	1	1	GOOD			
B iv	Sterilisation	activities	3						
B 4	HIC 7	1	1			EXCELLENT			
Вv	Biomedical '	Waste Ma	anagemei	nt					
B 5	HIC 8	4	4	-	-	EXCELLENT			
B vi	Management of hazardous materials								
B 6	FMS 8	5	4	1	-	GOOD			
B vii	Storage of medication								
В 7	MOM 3	3	2	1	-	GOOD			
B viii	Use of narcotic drugs and psychotropic substances								
B 8	MOM 9	3	3	-	-	EXCELLENT			
B ix	Prescription	of medic	ation						
B 9	MOM 4	4	1	3	-	GOOD			
Вх	Medication a	administr	ation						
B 10	MOM 6	5	4	1	-	GOOD			
B xi	Monitoring a	after med	lication a	dministr	ation				
B 11	MOM 8	2	2	-	-	EXCELLENT			
B xii	Use of medi	cal gases							
B 12	MOM 13	2	1	1	-	GOOD			
B xiii	Use of impla	ıntable pı	rosthesis						
B 13	MOM 12	3	3	-	-	EXCELLENT			
B xiv	Addressing fire and non fire emergencies								
B 14	FMS 5	4	-	-	0	POOR			
B xv	Training of staff on safety measures								
B 15	HIC 9	3	1	2	-	GOOD			
B 16	HRM 4	4	3	1	-	GOOD			

Table 5. Evaluation of staffing pattern and human resource management								
A.	Evaluation of staffing pattern and human resource management							
Ci	Human resource planning							
C 1	HRM 1	2	1	-	1	GOOD		
C ii	Qualified	staff in diffe	erent cate	gories				
C 2	HRM 11	3	3	-	-	EXCELLENT		
C 3	HRM 13	3	3	-	-	EXCELLENT		
C iii	Professional training and development of staff							
C 4	HRM 3	2	-	-	2	POOR		
C iv	Performa	nce evaluati	on					
C 5	HRM 5	3	3	-	-	EXCELLENT		
A.	Evaluation of equipment management programme							
Di	Equipment facility for the services							
D ii	Maintenance of proper logs on equipment inventory							
D iii	Operation and maintenance of the equipments							
D 1	FMS 3	5	6	-	-	EXCELENT		

basic functional facilities for the proper functioning of OT.87.03% of staff have more than one year experience in MOT complex (Table 2). Only one anaesthesiologist and three OT technicians have experience less than one year. This makes the suggestions from the staff very important as they have enough working experience. Analysis and scoring are summarized in Tables 3-6.

Table 6 Evaluation of the quality of operative services								
B.	Evaluation of the quality of operative services							
Εi	Policies and	Policies and procedures for anaesthesia						
E 1	COP 11	10	7	-	3	POOR		
E ii	Policies and procedures for surgery							
E 2	COP 12	9	4	3	2	POOR		
E iii	Informed consent							
E 3	PRE 3	1	-	-	1	GOOD		
E iv	Continuous Quality improvement							
E 4	CQI 2	4	-	3	1	GOOD		
E 4	CQI 2	4	-		1	GOOD		

- The order of compliance of the infrastructure facilities is POOR as the NABH standard A 1 (FMS 2) has more than one 0 for its objective elements
- The order of compliance of patient and staff safety measures is POOR as the NABH standard B 14 (FMS 5) has score 0 for all its objective elements
- The order of compliance of staffing pattern and human resource management is POOR as the NABH standard C 4 (HRM 3) has score 0 for all its objective elements
- The order of compliance of equipment

- management programme is EXCELLENT as the NABH standard D1 (FMS 3) has score 10 for all its objective elements
- The order of compliance of the quality of operative services is POOR as the NABH standard E 1 (COP 11) has score 0 for three objective elements and NABH standard E 2 (COP 12) has score 0 for two of its objective elements

DISCUSSION

Poor compliance of infrastructure facilities is due to space constraints, poor documentations and inadequate policies& protocols regarding maintenance of facilities. According to the staff except for the space constraints, infrastructure facilities are satisfactory. The difference between staff opinion and assessment against NABH standards is due to noncompliance with certain mandatory documentations. Mandatory documentations for NABH accreditation like documentation of policies and procedures and detailed drawings on site lay out might seem unimportant for the staff.^{7,8,9}

Poor compliance of patient and staff safety measures is due to inadequate documented policies & protocols on elements like fire and non fire safety plan, facility inspection by safety committee, antibiotic policy, and usage of implantable prosthesis and absence of isolation/barrier nursing facility. According to majority of staff the infrastructure compliance with safety measures is average except for the absence of fire safety measures. Level of safety is also considered average by majority of the staff except for the absence of isolation/barrier nursing facility. The difference between staff opinion and assessment against NABH standards is due to noncompliance with the essential documentations for NABH accreditation

Poor compliance of staffing pattern and human resource management is due to inadequate number of staff, absence of documented training and development policy & feedback mechanism for the assessment of the same. Majority of the doctors opined that a regular professional training and development programme is not available. Majority of other staff opined that it is available. The difference in opinion is because the nurses and nursing assistants are getting some sort of regular internal professional training. Regarding staffing pattern the opinion of the staff and assessment against NABH standards were the same i.e. inadequate. The equipment management programme has excellent compliance with NABH standards. The staff opinion is also the same

Poor compliance of the quality of operative services is mainly due to lack of some mandatory documentations needed for NABH accreditation like documentation of anaesthesia plan at preanaesthetic check up and of an immediate preanaesthetic evaluation on the day of surgery, separate informed consents for anaesthesia and surgery ,documentation of time out and sign out procedures, regular documentation of surveillance of OT environment and monitoring of the use of blood and blood products using Key Peformance Indicators.^{7,8,9}

The staff opinion is that it is satisfactory. The difference between staff opinion and assessment against NABH standards is due to noncompliance with the mandatory documentations for NABH accreditation.

SUMMARY

The study revealed that planning and functioning of the MOT complex has mostly POOR order of compliance with NABH standards. The difference between staff opinion and assessment against NABH standards is due to the absence of certain documentations which are mandatory for NABH accreditation but not considered so important by the staff probably due to unawareness. This study highlights the fact that understanding of the concepts of quality management and requirements of accreditation standards helps to guide the efforts in the right direction.^{7,8,9,10}

END NOTE

Author Information

Dr Sudha P MD, Associate Professor, Division of Anaesthesiology, Regional Cancer Centre, Trivandrum, Kerala 695011

Conflict of Interest: None declared

Cite this article as:

Sudha P. Gap Analysis of Major Operation Theatre Complex of a Tertiary Cancer Centre against NABH Accreditation Standards. Kerala Medical Journal. 2015 Aug 31;8(3):9–14.

REFERENCES

- Alkhenizan A, Shaw C. Impact of accreditation on the quality of healthcare services: a systematic review of the literature. Ann Saudi Med. 2011 Aug;31(4):407–16.
- Dastur FD. Hospital accreditation: a certificate of proficiency for healthcare institutions. J Assoc Physicians India. 2012 Apr;60:12–3.

- Wendy Nicklin: The value and impact of health care accreditation: a literature review: Driving quality health services Updated: October 2013
- Leigh G. Turner Quality in health care and globalization of health services: accreditation and regulatory oversight of medical tourism companies: International Journal for Quality in Health Care 2011; 1–7
- 5. http://www.qcin.org and www.nabh.co
- Atkinson S, Ingham J, Cheshire M, Went S. Defining quality and quality improvement. Clin Med. 2010 Dec;10(6):537–9.
- Greenfield D, Braithwaite J. Health sector accreditation research: a systematic review. Int J Qual Health Care. 2008 Jun;20(3):172–83.
- Shekelle PG, Pronovost PJ, Wachter RM, Taylor SL, Dy SM, Foy R, et al. Advancing the science of patient safety. Ann Intern Med. 2011 May 17;154(10):693–6.
- 9. La1 N. Quality in hospitals. Quality India (a QCI publication) 2011:5:32-33
- Varkey P, Kollengode A. A framework for healthcare quality improvement in India: the time is here and now! J Postgrad Med. 2011 Sep;57(3):237–41.