# Predictors for failed Initial Urethral Catheterization in Patients with Acute Urinary Retention

Ashish S Nair<sup>a</sup>, Vasudevan S<sup>b</sup>, Sam Thampan<sup>a</sup>, Vikas Kumar<sup>a</sup>, Shailendra Mohan<sup>a</sup>, Vivek Sharma<sup>a</sup>, Abhinav Kumar<sup>a</sup>

- a. Senior Residents, Government Medical College, Trivandrum;
- b. Additional Professor Urology, Government Medical College, Trivandrum\*

# ABSTRACT

Published on 30th June 2016

**Objectives:** The aim of this study was to find the predictors for failed initial per urethral catheterization in patients with acute urinary retention.

**Methods:** Patients with acute urinary retention with failed per urethral catheterization were evaluated and followed prospectively. A detailed history, physical examination and investigations were done. An attempt was made to catheterization by a senior urologist. Failed cases underwent suprapubic diversion. Urethro-cystoscopy was done within 48 hours to determine the cause of failed catheterization.

**Observations and results:** A total of 47 patients were studied. Of the 47 patients who were referred for failed catheterization, 24 were readily catheterized by urology senior resident. In 16 patients supra pubic urinary diversion was done while the remaining 7 were catheterized under Cystoscopy guidance. In our study improper technique was the most significant predictor for failed catheterization. 51.06% of patients who were referred for failed catheterization were readily catheterized while practicing proper technique. Other causes were analysed.

**Conclusion:** Technique of urethral catheterization is the most important predictor for failed attempts at catheterization. Improper multiple attempts at catheterization leads to significant urethral injuries which cause significant pain and distress to the patients. Moreover such iatrogenic injuries are the leading cause for urethral stricture development later on. As such it is imperative for all emergency health care professionals to have proper training in the technique for urethral catheterization.

Keywords: Predictors, Failed catheterization, Improper technique

# INTRODUCTION

Urological emergencies though rare, cause significant morbidity to the patient. Acute urinary retention is the most frequent cause for urology consultation in an emergency setting (24-30%) followed by renal colic (17-20%), urinary infection, torsion testis and genitourinary trauma. The incidence of acute urinary retention adjusted for age is 2.96-3/1000 men. The immediate management of acute urinary retention involve decompression of the bladder, mostly by placing a perurethral catheter. Supra pubic catheterization is done when per urethral catheterization fails or is contraindicated. Difficult or failed urethral catheterization is a common problem, usual causes being improper technique, benign prostate enlargement, urethral strictures and bladder neck contracture. Catheterization if

not attempted properly not only cause significant pain and distress to the patients; it may also lead to significant urethral injuries. Such iatrogenic urethral injuries are the most common causes for urethral strictures.

The aim of this study was to find the predictors for failed initial per urethral catheterization in patients with acute urinary retention. Emphasis was giving on catheterization technique in an attempt to prevent urethral trauma leading to stricture formation.

# Patient Selection Criteria

Patients presenting to the casualty with acute urinary retention with previous history of lower urinary tract symptoms, with failed attempt at catheterization were included in the study. Paediatric patients, patients with suspected/ confirmed urethral injury, patients with

Cite this article as: Nair AS, Vasudevan S, Thampan S, Kumar V, Mohan S, Sharma V, et al. Predictors for failed Initial Urethral Catheterization in Patients with Acute Urinary Retention. Kerala Medical Journal. 2016 Jun 30;9(2):60–3.

### **Corresponding Author:**

Dr S Vasudevan, Additional Professor Urology, Government Medical College, Trivandrum Phone: 9447124246. Email: periamana@gmail.com

<sup>\*</sup>See End Note for complete author details

coagulation disorders and/or unstable vitals were excluded.

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Patients with acute urinary retention with failed per urethral catheterization referred to urology unit III of GMC Trivandrum, a tertiary referral centre, from January 2014 till December 2014 were evaluated and followed prospectively. Evaluation comprised of detailed history and physical examination. Blood investigations included complete haemogram, renal functions and serum PSA levels. Ultrasound abdomen and pelvis was done for all the patients. Initial single gentle attempt at catheterization was tried by senior resident in urology. Supra pubic bladder diversion was done for those patients who failed catheterization. Urethro-cystoscopy was done in all patients within 48 hours of presentation to evaluate the cause of retention.

Data was compiled and entered on an Excel® database. Statistical analysis was performed using Stat Cal®software. Chi square and Fisher's exact test were used to analyse the significance of the results. A p-value < 0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

# **RESULTS**

Of the 47 patients who were referred for failed catheterization, 24 were readily catheterized by urology senior resident. In 16 patients supra pubic urinary diversion was done while the remaining 7 were catheterized under Cystoscopy guidance.

## **DISCUSSION**

It is imperative for all emergency healthcare professionals treating acute urinary retention to know the correct technique of urinary catheterization. Multiple unsuccessful attempts at catheterization cause significant urethral injuries with subsequent stricture formation. Such iatrogenic strictures are the most common and preventable cause for urethral stricture disease. In our study 51.06% of patients who were referred for failed catheterization were readily catheterized while practicing proper technique. During data analysis in two different institutions, Villanueva and Hemstreet III reported similar results where 41% and 54% patients respectively were readily catheterized using 18 Fr catheters.

In review of literature improper technique was found to be the most common cause for failed catheteriza-

Table 1. Successful Catheterization- Urology vs. Casualty					
Parameters Studied	Urology	Casualty	p value		
Amount of Jelly used (<5/5-10/>10 cc)	0/0/24	21/2/1	0.00001		
Technique of applying jelly( catheter tip/ into urethra)	0/24	18/6	0.00001		
Time b/w jelly application and catheterization (immediate/ after 5 mins.)	0/24	20/4	0.00001		
Size of Catheter use(14/16/18Fr)	0/3/21	13/11/0	0.00001		

tion followed by benign prostatic enlargement.<sup>4</sup> Proper technique of blind catheterization<sup>5</sup> includes application of 10-15cc of water based anaesthetic solution/ jelly into the urethral meatus. After jelly application a penile clamp is placed so that the anaesthetic agent is retained in the urethra for it to act. Pain while attempting catheterization will lead to distress and voluntary contraction of the external sphincter by the patient making the procedure difficult. Several studies in the literature comparing the use of anaesthetic agent vs. plain aqueous lubricant solution for catheterization have found that use of anaesthetic agent significantly reduces procedure related pain and morbidity.<sup>6,7</sup> Patient is asked to breathe slowly; the penis is stretched in upright position at an angle of ~60°, in line with normal anatomic curve of urethra and the catheter is gently passed in. Care should be taken not to force the catheter into the urethra in case resistance is encountered. Size of the normal adult male urethra is 30 Fr.8,9 A 16 or 18 Fr Foley catheter is ideal for male catheterization. Smaller sized catheter should be used in case of suspected stricture. If the obstruction is due to enlarged prostate, catheterization should be attempted using bigger sized catheters.8

In our study improper technique was the most significant predictor for failed catheterization. In 87% cases (21/24) inadequate amount of anaesthetic jelly was used. The jelly was applied to the tip of the catheter rather than into the urethra in 18/24 patients (75%). In 20/24 patients (84%) the catheterization was attempted immediately after jelly application, before the onset of action of the anaesthetic agent. In more than half of the patients (54.16%), catheterization was attempted by 14 Fr catheters in casualty (**Table 1**).

With increasing number of attempts, the chances of urethral injury increase significantly. Forceful catheterization leads to false passages, the most common site of injury being the bulbar and posterior urethra. One should suspect urethral injury in patients complaining of pain in penile or perineal region following catheterization attempts. Presence of blood at urethral meatus also point towards possible urethral injury. Number of

Table 2. Successful vs. Failed catheterization					
Parameters Studied	Catheteriza- tion suc- cessful (24)	Catheterization Failed (23)	p value		
Prostate size (Gr I/II/III)	4/14/6	3/13/7	0.888853		
Median Lobe/ intra vesical prostatic protrusion	2	13	0.000396		
Serum PSA ( 0-4/ 4-10/ >10ng/ml)	7/13/4	10/9/4	0.53903		
Cystoscopy-False Track	3	19	0.00001		
Cystoscopy- Bladder Neck Contracture	0	1	>0.05		
Cystoscopy- High bladder Neck	4	18	0.00001		
Cystoscopy- Stricture Urethra	0	4	< 0.05		
Number of attempts at catheterization (single/multiple)	19/5	8/15	0.002		

previous attempts was a significant predictor for failed catheterization in our study **(Table 2).** Presence of false track on Cystoscopy was much higher in failed catheterization group as compared to those successfully catheterized (p=0.00001).

Presence of large median lobe with intra vesical prostatic protrusion distorts the normal curvature of the posterior urethra; pushing the bladder neck high. In such angulated posterior urethra, catheterization is difficult and may require digital rectal guidance for successful catheterization. Bladder neck elevation angle of > 35° on cysto-urethroscopy was found to be associated with higher bladder outlet obstruction index (BOOI). Another study comparing BOO index according to Posterior urethral angle (PUA), found that patients with higher PUA had higher BOO index than those with lower PUA (30.6+/-1.8 vs. 23.6+/-1.8, P=.006). The area under the curve of PUA was significant for BOO.

Many studies have evaluated non invasive predictors for bladder outlet obstruction and acute urinary retention in patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia. It was found that Detrusor wall thickness (DWT) and intra vesical prostatic protrusion on ultrasound correlated significantly with BOO and AUR. 14,15

In the current study both presence of median lobe of prostate with intra vesical protrusion and high bladder neck were significant predictors for failed catheterization, while size of the prostate gland, serum PSA levels correlated insignificantly.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

Technique of urethral catheterization is the most important predictor for failed attempts at catheterization. Improper multiple attempts at catheterization leads to significant urethral injuries which cause significant pain and distress to the patients. Moreover such iatrogenic injuries are the leading cause for urethral stricture development later on. As such it is imperative for all emergency health care professionals to have proper training in the technique for urethral catheterization.

Patients with benign prostatic enlargement presenting with acute urinary retention should be categorized in two groups: low risk and high risk for failed catheterization on the basis of pre procedure evaluation including ultrasonography.

High risk for failed catheterization:

- 1. Multiple previous attempts at catheterization
- 2. Complains of penile/ perineal pain & tenderness post catheterization attempt
- 3. Presence of blood at urethral meatus post catheterization attempt
- 4. Ultrasound- Presence of median lobe with intra vesical protrusion
- 5. Ultrasound- Increased Detrusor wall thickness (DWT)

In high risk cases difficult catheterization should be anticipated and such patients should be evaluated by urologists for urethral injury and urinary diversion.

## **END NOTE**

#### **Author Information**

- Dr Ashish S Nair, Senior Residents, Government Medical College, Trivandrum
- 2. Dr Vasudevan S, Additional Professor, Department of Urology, Government Medical College, Trivandrum.
- Dr Sam Thampan, Senior Residents, Government Medical College, Trivandrum
- 4. Dr Vikas Kumar, Senior Residents, Government Medical College, Trivandrum
- Dr Shailendra Mohan, Senior Residents, Government Medical College, Trivandrum

- Dr Vivek Sharma, Senior Residents, Government Medical College, Trivandrum
- Dr Abhinav Kumar, Senior Residents, Government Medical College, Trivandrum

Conflict of Interest: None declared

**Editor's Remarks:** This study has a lot of practical value in emergency room management. The actual difficulties encountered are mentioned and analysed. The reduction of long term urethral injury is achieved by this

#### REFERENCES

- C.F. Heyns, , J. van der Merwe, J. Basson, A. van der Merwe; Etiology
  of male urethral strictures-Evaluation of temporal changes at a single centre, and review of the literature. African Journal of Urology
  Volume 18, Issue 1, March 2012, Pages 4–9
- Hadfield-Law L. Male catheterization. Accid Emerg Nurs. 2001 Oct;9(4):257–63.
- Gray M. Urinary retention. Management in the acute care setting. Part. 2. Am J Nurs. 2000 Aug;100(8):36–43; quiz 44.
- Villanueva C, Hemstreet GP, III Difficult catheterization: tricks of the trade. AUA Update Series. 2011; 30:41–48
- Willette PA, Coffield S. Current Trends in the Management of Difficult Urinary Catheterizations. West J Emerg Med. 2012 Dec;13(6):472–8.
- Vaughan M, Paton EA, Bush A, Pershad J. Does lidocaine gel alleviate the pain of bladder catheterization in young children? A rand-

- omized, controlled trial. Pediatrics. 2005 Oct;116(4):917-20.
- Harmanli OH, Okafor O, Ayaz R, Knee A. Lidocaine jelly and plain aqueous gel for urethral straight catheterization and the Q-tip test: a randomized controlled trial. Obstet Gynecol. 2009 Sep;114(3):547– 50.
- 8. Thomsen TW, Setnik GS. Videos in clinical medicine. Male urethral catheterization. N Engl J Med. 2006 May 25;354(21):e22.
- Carter HB, Chan DY. Basic instrumentation and cystoscopy. In: Wein AJ, Kavoussi LR, Novick AC, et al., editors. Campbell's Urology. 9th ed. Philadelphia, PA: WB Saunders Co; 2007. pp. 161–170.
- 10.Hadfield-Law L. Male catheterization. Accid Emerg Nurs. 2001 Oct;9(4):257–63.
- 11.Daneshgari F, Krugman M, Bahn A, Lee RS. Evidence-based multidisciplinary practice: improving the safety and standards of male bladder catheterization. Medsurg Nurs. 2002 Oct;11(5):236–41, 246.
- 12. Kang M, Kim M, Choo MS, Bae J, Ku JH, Yoo C, et al. Association of high bladder neck elevation with urodynamic bladder outlet obstruction in patients with lower urinary tract symptoms and benign prostatic hyperplasia. Urology. 2014 Dec;84(6):1461–6.
- 13.Ku JH, Ko DW, Cho JY, Oh S-J. Correlation between prostatic urethral angle and bladder outlet obstruction index in patients with lower urinary tract symptoms. Urology. 2010 Jun;75(6):1467–71.
- 14. Ashraf Abdel-Aala, Tarek El-Karamanya, et al; Assessment of non invasive predictors of bladder outlet obstruction and acute urinary retention secondary to benign prostatic enlargement Arab Journal of Urology Volume 9, Issue 3, September 2011, Pages 209–214
- 15.Franco G, De Nunzio C, Leonardo C, Tubaro A, Ciccariello M, De Dominicis C, et al. Ultrasound assessment of intravesical prostatic protrusion and detrusor wall thickness--new standards for noninvasive bladder outlet obstruction diagnosis? J Urol. 2010 Jun;183(6):2270–4.